A new forcefield model was developed for the computer simulation of phosphate materials. The model provides a fundamental basis for the evaluation of phosphate glass structure and thermodynamics, and was used to perform molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of a series of lithium phosphate glass compositions ($x\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot (1-x)\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$, $0 \leq x \leq 0.5$). Microstructural features and thermodynamic properties, as well as their correlations with chemical compositions, were analyzed. An important structural feature observed for the phosphate glasses was the occurrence of ring structures that were related to the chemical composition. Relative stability of the ring structures with respect to the number of phosphate tetrahedra within the ring was investigated using molecular orbital calculations on various phosphate conformations of clusters. An increase in stability was observed as the ring size increases from two- to four-membered rings. A larger abundance of the smallest ring size, the 3-membered rings ($\text{P}_3\text{O}_3$), corresponds to the minimum of the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) in the lithium phosphate glass series (corresponding to the composition $0.2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot 0.8\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$). The impact of these strained 3-membered rings, along with the changes in the Li-coordination environment, on determining the spectroscopic and thermodynamic properties of the phosphate glasses is discussed.
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1. Introduction

Among the common glass-forming oxides (e.g. SiO$_2$), phosphorous pentoxide (P$_2$O$_5$) and its glass-formation process are the least understood to our knowledge. Yet, phosphate-based materials are important for a variety of applications. The glass-transition temperature ($T_g$) and thermal-expansion coefficients of phosphate glasses make them candidate materials for glass–metal sealing applications [1], while optical properties such as the rare-earth stimulated-emission cross-sections, thermal-optical coefficients and ultraviolet transparency also make them important for laser glasses [2]. Recent developments of novel compositions for fast-ion conductors [3], as well as uses in biomedical applications [4], have prompted further studies of phosphate glasses.

Knowledge of the structure-property relationships is the key to designing phosphate materials for specific applications. However, in phosphate glasses, these relationships have not received attention because of difficulties in materials preparation. Ultra-phosphate glass compositions, with high P$_2$O$_5$ contents (>50% P$_2$O$_5$) are hygroscopic, making preparation difficult, as well as presenting...
challenges in measuring properties. Due to these difficulties, a relatively limited number of structural investigations have been reported [5–10], such as X-ray and neutron scattering, Raman and infrared (IR) spectroscopies and solid state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies. From these investigations, progress has been made in determining short-range and, to some extent, medium-range structures in phosphate glasses. However, a detailed knowledge of certain composition–property relationships is lacking, for example, the non-linear $T_g$-alkali content relationship experimentally observed in the alkali ultraphosphate solid solution series [7].

Computational approaches have the advantage of bypassing some aspects of the experimental difficulties. In particular, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations can be effectively used to relate physical properties to structural details within the glass. When a proper interatomic interaction model is established, structural information for a given system can be examined in any given scope (e.g. effect of alkali metal or alkali content on $T_g$). Such effort will build an important bridge between chemical composition, whose properties will be manifested in structural and dynamic properties, and the resultant property variations that are yet to be understood. In this study, the MD simulations for a series of lithium phosphate glasses are presented. Details of the different structural variations as a function of Li$_2$O concentration are quantified and discussed.

2. Forcefield model

In molecular dynamic simulations, creating a reliable forcefield is the first and most important step in modeling the interatomic interactions that determine the physical properties. In previous MD simulations of metal phosphate glasses [11–13], forcefield models considering primarily two-body interaction models have been used. These models explicitly incorporate electrostatic (Coulombic) and repulsive interactions to describe the chemical bonding between neighboring atoms. They have some success in simulating structures of meta- and pyrophosphate glasses (for the compositions, $\text{M}^{n+}_{3/n} \cdot \text{PO}_3$ and $\text{M}^{n+}_{2/n} \cdot \text{PO}_3$, respectively; M is a metal ion of valence $n+$), in which the PO$_4$ basic building units are much less distorted compared to those in P$_2$O$_5$ glass. A potentially useful interaction model has also been proposed in a molecular mechanics simulation of phosphate biominerals [14]. In addition to the two-body interaction terms, three-body interactions involving O–P–O and P–O–P angle-bendings were also included. Structural properties were reproduced reasonably well (within 3% of the experimental values) using this model. However, as this forcefield requires separate parameterizations when oxygen atoms are in different structural environments (terminal or non-bridging vs. bridging), prior knowledge of these different O species is required and the speciation of the O-atom is supposed to be fixed during the simulation process. Such requirement leads to difficulties in MD simulations, particularly at temperatures where bond breaking and bond reforming processes occur.

These difficulties in modeling phosphate chemistry stems from the outer-shell electron configuration of the P atom. Similar to Si, P forms sp$^3$ hybridization in phosphate compounds [15]. As there are five valence electrons, the tetrahedrally hybridized orbitals are intrinsically anisotropic. This anisotropy is evident in the structure of phosphorous pentaoxide, P$_2$O$_5$. Among the four P–O bonds associated with each P atom, three are bridging, P–O$_b$, forming $\text{–P–O–P–}$ connectivity, whereas the fourth constitutes the terminal P–O bond with a $\pi$-bond property. While the bond distances [5,6,16–18] for the three P–O$_b$ are similar, between 0.156 and 0.160 nm, those of the P–O bond are from 0.143 to 0.145 nm. These variations in P–O bond lengths are a serious challenge to modeling phosphate material in two aspects. First, a forcefield needs to be constructed such that the asymmetry in the structure can be effectively included in the calculation and second, a mechanism needs to be in place to distinguish the P–O bond from the other P–O$_b$ bonds. This second aspect is especially important with simulations at temperatures at which active bond breaking and reforming will occur.

In the present work, we propose a forcefield model that describes explicit two- and three-body
interactions that is capable of distinguishing P–O bonds from P-O bonding while simultaneously treating all the O atoms identically. A Lennard-Jones potential function [19] was used to describe the short-range two-body interactions in addition to the usual Coulombic (electrostatic) interaction. The following form of the Lennard-Jones potential was used:

\[ E_{LJ} = D_0 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - 2 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{6}, \]  

(1)
in which \( r \) represents interatomic distances, \( r_0 \) and \( D_0 \) are adjustable parameters.

The three-body terms used in the model include O–P–O and P–O–P interactions. The O–P–O term is used to constrain the tetrahedral angles in the PO₄ tetrahedra. It has the form

\[ E_{\text{angle}} = 1/2 k_0 (\theta - \theta_0)^2, \]  

(2)
in which \( \theta \) is the actual O–P–O angle in the simulated structure, \( \theta_0 \) is the equilibrium tetrahedral angle of 109.47° and \( k_0 \) is a parameter to be determined. The P–O–P term has the same analytical form as Eq. (2) except that the equilibrium angle, \( \theta_0 \), requires parameterization.

The parameters in Eqs. (1) and (2) were determined by fitting to known crystal structures of P₂O₅. Three different forms of crystalline P₂O₅ are reported [16–18]:

(a) The hexagonal variety (h-P₂O₅). It consists of discrete P₄O₁₀ molecules and crystallizes in rhombohedral symmetry. The phase is metastable at room temperature.

(b) The orthorhombic variety with the space group Pnma. It consists of infinite layers built from 3-membered rings of three-corner linked PO₄ tetrahedra. The structure is stable at room temperature.

(c) The orthorhombic variety with the space group Fddd2. It consists of a network of PO₄ tetrahedra linked at three common apices. It is also stable at room temperature.

The two room-temperature stable structures of the P₂O₅ (orthorhombic) crystalline phases were used simultaneously in the parameter fitting processes, using the program GULP [20]. The force-field parameters were initially fitted using formal charges for each ion. However, while the model reproduced the structures of the crystalline phases, it failed to provide valid thermodynamic parameters, i.e., melting temperatures. It is known that phosphate compounds, as in silicates, have covalent properties [21,22]. Due to this covalent property, the effective ionic charges of the component atoms are reduced. In a forcefield model, the electrostatic interaction is by far the dominant force in holding the atoms together (accounts for over 90% of the total potential energy when formal charges are used to model the phosphate compounds). The use of formal charges will exaggerate the binding or lattice energy of the system and fail to reproduce experimental thermodynamics parameters. One consequence is that glass-transition temperatures and melting temperatures are overestimated in comparison to those observed experimentally [23]. Therefore, to obtain reasonable thermodynamic parameters, such as \( T_g \), it is crucial to use partial charges to describe the Coulombic component of the system energy in the simulations.

Effective ionic charges can be determined experimentally using X-ray diffraction (if the material is crystallized). Alternatively, the charges can be calculated in a first-principle molecular orbital calculation of a cluster representation of the crystalline material. In their Hartree–Fock calculation of the electron structure of the H₄P₂O₇ cluster, Uchino and Ogata [21] found that all four O-atoms in a PO₄ tetrahedron (both the individual O-atom bridging or terminal) have a similar effective charge ranging from −0.73 to −0.87. This result provides some support that, ionically, the O-atoms in the PO₄ tetrahedron do not differ. The difference between the bridging and terminal O-atoms is effectively absorbed in the covalent part of the corresponding bonds.

For the P-atom, an effective charge of 1.85 as calculated by Uchino and Ogata was adopted. The partial charge for O-atom was calibrated relative to the effective P charge (maintain electroneutrality for the compound stoichiometry) and found to be −0.74. The O-atom charge corresponds to the smaller margin of the calculated charges for the O-atoms in Uchino and Ogata's work. The partial charge for the Li ion was calculated accordingly to
be 0.37, which is less than the 0.467 given in Uchino and Ogata’s work. Forcefield parameters were then readjusted based on the reduced charges. The final fitted parameters are given in Table 1.

A forcefield model and short-range interaction parameters are also needed for the alkali metal. Only two-body interactions between the Li- and the O-atoms are considered, again using the Lennard-Jones potential model. In determining the Li–O interaction parameters, the structure [24] of a crystalline Li–metaphosphate, LiPO₃, was used. The resulting Li–O parameters are also included in Table 1.

3. Computational details

3.1. MD simulations

Molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the Cerius² software and the OFF energy program [25]. Six compositions in the solid solution series, \( x \)Li₂O·(1–\( x \))P₂O₅, \( x = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 \) and 0.5, were evaluated. For any given composition in the series, a rectangular simulation box containing a total of 300–400 atoms was generated (Table 2). The experimentally observed density of 2.445 [5] and 2.247 [10] g/cm³ for P₂O₅ (\( x = 0.0 \)) and LiPO₃ (\( x = 0.5 \)), respectively, were used and those for the intermediate compositions were extrapolated between the two end members. Periodic boundary conditions were applied to eliminate edge effects and conserve mass if atoms migrate outside the simulation cell. The atomic positions were randomized and the total energy of the simulation cell was minimized (allowing for geometry reconfiguration) using the forcefield model and parameterization developed in the present work. A molecular dynamics simulation using constant volume and temperature (NVT) canonical ensemble was then performed at 3000 K where active melting was observed for all compositions under study. A thermal bath was used to maintain the temperature of the simulation cell using the Hoover [26] scheme. The Newtonian equation of motion was integrated for every 1 fs time step. A total of 50 000 time steps of integration was used to equilibrate the system, corresponding to a total simulation time of 50 ps. During the simulation, atom connectivities were updated every 10 steps to ensure that active bond breaking and bond reforming processes be allowed while simultaneously two- and three-body interaction energies were calculated for each new configuration. The system was then quenched from 3000 to 300 K in 500 K intervals (the last step from 500 to 300 K). Each step lasted 7 ps, corresponding to a quench rate of \( 7.1 \times 10^{11} \) K/s. Once at 300 K, the system was equilibrated for an additional 50 ps.

3.2. Determination of temperature of glass transition (\( T_g \))

The glass-transition temperature can be determined by monitoring the volume–temperature relationship while keeping the pressure constant. This approach provides a computational analog to the experimental determination of \( T_g \) using dilatometry. A similar approach has been used in monitoring the \( T_g \) variation in polymers [27]. In the present study, the specific volume–temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Empirical forcefield parameters⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two-body interaction</td>
<td>( D_0 ) (eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{P}^{1.85}–\text{O}^{0.74} )</td>
<td>0.004251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}^{0.74}–\text{O}^{0.74} )</td>
<td>0.012185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Li}^{3.77}–\text{O}^{0.74} )</td>
<td>0.000668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-body interaction</td>
<td>( k_0 ) (eV/rad²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O–P–O} )</td>
<td>3.5401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{P–O–P} )</td>
<td>20.9326</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴See text for definition of the symbols and parameters.
relationship was examined for the phosphate glass treated as an NPT ensemble (constant pressure and temperature at each given temperature step). A change in slope for the linearly-regressed volume–temperature (V–T) relation corresponds to the glass transition temperature (cf. Fig. 4(a)).

3.3. Ab initio calculation of relative stability of phosphate rings

In support of the MD simulations, the \( \text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \) molecular cluster was used to examine electronic structure and obtain the relative energies as a function of the bridging P–O–P bond angle. Hydrogens were used as pseudoatoms allowing the modeling by finite size clusters to represent the extensive crosslinking present in phosphate glasses. For the \( \text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \) cluster ab initio molecular orbital calculations were performed at the density-functional-theory (DFT) level using the Gaussian 98 program [28]. The optimization and energy calculations used a 6-311G++(2d,2p) basis set and the B3LYP functionals, which are a linear combination of Becke’s three parameter method [29] using the LYP correlational functional of Lee, Yang and Parr [30,31], that include both local and non-local correlations. The geometry of the \( \text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \) cluster was optimized in the eclipsed structure and have been described elsewhere [32]. The results of these calculations are similar to those reported by Uchino and Ogata [21].

Ab initio calculations and optimizations of the \( n \)-membered phosphate rings in various conformations were also obtained using the Gaussian 98 program, but were evaluated at the HF level using the 6-31+G(d) basis set. These additional molecular orbital calculations were initiated to help interpret the relative energies of the rings compared to the ring structures obtained in the MD simulations.

4. Results and discussions

4.1. Phosphorus pentoxide (\( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \))

As a test of the robustness of the forcefield model and the corresponding parameterization, the simulation of vitreous \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) was examined. Under NVT condition at 300 K, the system pressure and the variation over time are examined, while maintaining the density of the glass at the experimentally observed density. The pressure fluctuation over a period of 50 ps was essentially zero (Fig. 1), indicating the ability of the model to reproduce the equilibrium structural state of the system.

The validation of the forcefield model can also be supported by comparing the experimentally observed short-range structure, which is available for \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) crystals and glass, with those obtained through simulation. The short range P–O distance distribution can be represented using radial distribution function (RDF, represented by \( g(r) \) in Fig. 2), which is essentially the probability of finding an atom at a given radial distance from the central atom. For the \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) crystalline phase that was simulated at 300 K, the RDF for the first coordination sphere of the P–O atom pairs (Fig. 2(a)) shows the distinction between the shorter P’O and the longer P–O bonds, in an abundance ratio of 1:3. The bond distance for the P’O bond is 0.141 nm, as compared to the experimentally observed \( \sim 0.1448 \) nm, whereas the average of the P–O bonds is 0.155 nm, comparable to the experimentally observed \( \sim 0.1575 \) nm [16–18]. In the quenched \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) glass, the P–O distance distribution also shows a split (Fig. 2(b)),

*Fig. 1. Dynamic variation of pressure and temperature observed in the MD simulation of \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) glass (\( x = 0.0 \)) at 300 K under NVT conditions (constant temperature and volume).*
albeit the difference is less due primarily to the amorphous state of the system and, in part, the relatively large quench rate. The split corresponding to the shorter $P^\prime-O$ bond gives an average distance of 0.143 nm and the other split corresponding to the single $P-O$ bonds give an average distance of 0.157 nm. The population ratio is again 1:3. The equivalent $P-O$ distances determined experimentally [6] are $0.1432 \pm 0.0005$ and $0.1581 \pm 0.0003$ nm, respectively. The $P-O-P$ angle of the $P_2O_5$ glass was also modeled well with the forcefield. The experimentally determined [5] $P-O-P$ angle is $137^\circ \pm 3^\circ$, while the simulated being $137^\circ$ (Fig. 2(c)).

4.2. Short-range structure of the Li-ultraphosphate glass series, $xLi_2O \cdot (1-x)P_2O_5$

Tables 3 and 4 list the mean interatomic distances and angles, respectively, obtained from the equilibrated simulations of the lithium phosphate glass series and Table 5 provides mean coordination numbers for $P$ and $Li$. Due to the apparent asymmetry and the long trailing tail on the longer distance side in the RDFs of the atom pairs (Fig. 3), distances corresponding to the greatest intensities of the RDF spectra, instead of the averages, are given in the table. The long trailing tail can be effectively suppressed if a smaller quench rate is used in the simulation.

Table 3
Mean interatomic distances (nm) of the Li-ultraphosphate glasses, $xLi_2O \cdot (1-x)P_2O_5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>($P-O$)</th>
<th>($Li-O$)</th>
<th>($O-O$)</th>
<th>($P-P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Mean angle distribution of the Li-ultraphosphate glasses, $xLi_2O \cdot (1-x)P_2O_5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>($O-P-O$)</th>
<th>($P-O-P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Mean coordination number of $P$ and $Li$ of the Li-ultraphosphate glasses, $xLi_2O \cdot (1-x)P_2O_5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$N_{P,O}$</th>
<th>$N_{Li,O}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is a general trend of decreasing average P–O distance from 0.157 to 0.153 nm as \( x \) increases from 0.0 to 0.5 in the solid solution series \((x\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot (1-x)\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)\), while the coordination number of P remains 4.0 throughout the series. The corresponding P–O stretching frequencies are expected to increase as the P–O bond shortens. This increase is consistent with the experimentally observed trend of the major Raman stretching band at \(655 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) of the phosphate series [7].

The Li–O and O–O distances remain essentially unchanged as the composition varies. There is a minimum in P–P distance at composition \( x = 0.1 \) \((0.1\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot 0.9\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)\). The P–P distances increase towards either end-member of the solid solution series. The mean O–P–O and the P–O–P angles also remain apparently invariant, in the range of 109–110° and 136–137°, respectively.

The variation of mean coordination number of the Li ions deserves separate discussion. There is a minimum of \(\sim 4.2\) corresponding to the composition \( x = 0.2 \) \((0.2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot 0.8\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)\). The minimum in the \(T_g\) for the lithium phosphate glass series is also observed at this composition. Towards smaller and larger \( x \)s, the mean coordination number increases to a maximum of 5.0. The coordination number between 4 and 5 is consistent with recent \(^6\text{Li}\) NMR investigation of lithium phosphate glasses [33]. While the mean Li–O distances remain constant (Table 3) throughout the series, increasing coordination numbers means an increase in the effective bonding between Li and O atoms. This increase coincides with the increasing \( T_g \)s towards both ends of the solid solution series.

4.3. The glass-transition temperatures (\(T_g\))

Fig. 4(a) shows the specific volume–temperature relationship simulated for the \(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\) glass as an example in the determination of \(T_g\)s for the glass series. A change in slope in the linearly-regressed \(V\)–\(T\) data from the MD simulations gives a \(T_g\) of 628 K. This \(T_g\) is close to the experimentally observed 653 K [7]. \(T_g\)s for other glass compositions in the series are shown in Fig. 4(b). It can be seen that, although the simulated \(T_g\)s are in general less

---

Fig. 3. Radial distribution functions \(g(r)\) for the simulated glass structure of Li-ultraphosphate, 0.2Li₂O·0.8P₂O₅.

Fig. 4. Glass-transition temperature \((T_g)\) in the lithium phosphate glass, \(x\text{Li}_2\text{O} \cdot (1-x)\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\) (a) volume-temperature relationship of the simulated \(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\) glass and (b) variation of \(T_g\) as a function of composition. Solid circle: simulated; open circle: experimentally observed [7].
than the experimentally observed ones, the overall trend of the experimentally observed [7] $T_g$ variation is reproduced. The simulated glass transition temperature at both ends of the solid solution series are relatively high, whereas at ~20\% Li$_2$O, a minimum in $T_g$ is evident.

4.4. Ring structures and their association with $T_g$

The molecular-level basis for the mechanisms behind the $T_g$ dependence on Li$_2$O content of the phosphate glasses is puzzling and have been the subject of debate [7–10]. With the MD simulation results, it is possible to examine this dependence using the simulated glass structures, particularly from the medium-range structural point of view. Fig. 5 shows a structural representation of the equilibrated glass for composition 0.2Li$_2$O·0.8P$_2$O$_5$. One of the unique structural features of this composition is the formation of 3-membered rings (rings formed by 3 corner-shared PO$_4$ tetrahedra). In fact, this composition has by far the greatest concentration of 3-membered rings (Fig. 6) observed for any simulated glass. Towards both ends of the compositional series, the abundance of this 3-membered ring decreases and, in the meantime, the abundances of increasingly larger (4-, 5- and 6-membered) rings increases until such a point that chains dominate (Figs. 6 and 7). The highest concentration of the smallest ring size (3-membered rings) coincides with the lowest $T_g$ observed both experimentally and computationally for the composition of 0.2Li$_2$O·0.8P$_2$O$_5$.

A recent Raman spectroscopic study [7] of equivalent compositions of phosphate glasses provides experimental evidence that supports the existence of ring-structures in the glass. For compositions with 10–35 mol\% Li$_2$O (0.10 $\leq$ x $\leq$ 0.35), high frequency shoulders were observed on the Raman bands of both the symmetric and asymmetric stretches of non-bridging P–O bonds. It was suggested in the original work [7] that their appearance on the high frequency side of the main stretching bands is due to strained structures. Similar features were found in borate [34] and large-surface-area silicate glasses [35] and were attributed to vibrationally-decoupled three-

![Fig. 5. Simulated structure of phosphate glass composition 0.2Li$_2$O·0.8P$_2$O$_5$. Note the occurrence of three-membered rings (some of them highlighted in black; see the enlarged representation in the inset). Dark = P; light = O; black dot = Li.](image)

![Fig. 6. Distribution of the abundance of various sized rings as a function of composition in the simulated alkali phosphate glass series xLi$_2$O·(1−x)P$_2$O$_5$.](image)
and four-member tetrahedral rings using ab initio calculation [36]. Relative stability of different ring configurations in silicate glasses was recently examined using molecular orbital calculations [37].

The heats of formation were found to become more negative (towards more stable configurations) as ring size grows from two- to six-members.
We observed similar ring strain effects in our phosphate glass based on the ab initio calculations on \( n \)-membered phosphate rings. In contrast to the simple ring structures in silicate rings, the presence of the terminal oxygen on the phosphate gives rise to numerous conformations for each ring size. Fig. 8 shows the HF 6-31 G(d) optimized conformations for the \( n = 2 \), 3- and 4-membered phosphate rings. The structural parameters and associated energies for these different conformations are given in Table 6. As a first approximation of the relative stability of these ring systems, one can follow an approach similar to that presented by Galeener et al. [35] for silicate ring systems, where the internal P–O–P bond angle is used to estimate relative energies. In Fig. 9 the energy as a function of the P–O–P bond angle in the \( \text{H}_4\text{P}_2\text{O}_7 \) cluster is shown. A minimum in energy is observed for a P–O–P bond angle of 138°. Different P–O–P angles observed in the 2-, 3- and 4-membered rings are also shown, with the relative energy per P–O–P angle being given in Table 6. The energy associated with the 2-membered rings is \( \sim 46 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \), supporting the failure to observe these rings in the MD simulations. The most stable form of the 3-membered ring (partial cone, pc) has an internal P–O–P angle of 122° within the ring that is \( \sim 3.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \) higher in energy than the optimal P–O–P bond angle. As \( n \) increases the P–O–P bond angle tends toward or is near the optimal 138°. These results tend to support the idea that the 3-membered ring is less stable with respect to \( n \geq 4 \)-sized rings. Therefore, the glass composition that has the most abundant small rings is the least thermodynamically stable and gives the lowest \( T_g \). This composition corresponds to 0.2Li\(_2\)O·0.8P\(_2\)O\(_5\), whose glass structure has the largest abundance of 3-membered ring, the smallest ring unit observed in the glass. As the abundance of the small rings decrease and those of the larger rings increase (Fig. 6), the energetic stability of the glasses increases and so does the associated \( T_g \). The dominance of chains, that can be viewed as rings with infinite number of members, at both ends of the solid solution series (Fig. 7) marks the peak of energetic stability and the associated \( T_g \).

One point of concern is the fact that the calculated thermodynamic properties (such as \( T_g \)) and microstructure (such as ring-size) in the simulated glasses are a function of cooling rate during MD simulation [38]. However, as the cooling rate used is identical for each composition in the Li-phosphate series, we still have a valid base for comparison. While the absolute numbers for the thermodynamic and structural parameters (such as \( T_g \) and percentages of different ring size) may not be compared directly to experiment due to the quench rate used in the simulation, the relative trends in parameter variation are consistent. Another important property that also varies as a function of composition, the density, can be analyzed similarly. It will be the focus of further investigation.

The discovery that the decreasing concentration of ring structures, to produce long phosphate chains, correlates with increasing \( T_g \) (Figs. 4(b) and 7) and provides insight into a principal structural control of \( T_g \) variation. These MD simulations support the postulate that the increase in \( T_g \) beyond 20 mol% Li\(_2\)O is controlled by the increasingly important interaction of the long phosphate chains in the glass structure [39]. The concept of ‘repolymerization’ of the phosphate network via O–Li–O linkages have also been forwarded as another structural factor that may affect \( T_g \) variation [40]. A more detailed analysis of the Li coordination environment is underway.
4.5. The effect of quench rate on the simulated $Q^n$ species distribution

The degree of polymerization in a phosphate system can be described by the $Q^n$ notation, where $0 \leq n \leq 4$ and represents the number of bridging oxygens on a PO$_4$ tetrahedron. Only $Q^n$ species of $0 \leq n \leq 3$ were observed in phosphate crystals and glasses (cf. [7]). The degree of polymerization, or the relative population of the $Q^n$ species, is composition dependent. Vitreous P$_2$O$_5$ and polymorphs of crystalline equivalents have the largest observed degree of polymerization, with 100% $Q^3$ in the structure [5,6,16–18]. With increasing alkali (Li) content, the phosphate is increasingly depolymerized [7–9] with an observed increase in the proportions of $Q^2$ and $Q^1$. Table 7 shows the relative population of the $Q^n$ species in the simulated

---

**Table 6**

Optimized structural parameters and associated energies for $n$-membered phosphate rings clusters calculated at the HF/6-31+G(d) level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Bond angles (°)</th>
<th>Bond distances P–O (Å)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{HF}}$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)$^c$</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{\text{angle}}$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$)$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-Ring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Cis</td>
<td>94.7 85.3</td>
<td>1.622</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Trans</td>
<td>94.8 85.2</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Ring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial cone</td>
<td>140.0 99.6</td>
<td>1.590</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>~0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122.0 107.3</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone</td>
<td>129.8 99.0</td>
<td>1.607</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Ring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 3 alternate</td>
<td>132.1 100.0</td>
<td>1.577</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>133.8 100.5</td>
<td>1.582</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>134.9 102.1</td>
<td>1.584</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>138.6 102.9</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial cone</td>
<td>131.9 100.0</td>
<td>1.584</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>133.3 100.7</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>136.4 102.1</td>
<td>1.586</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>136.8 102.4</td>
<td>1.588</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cone</td>
<td>134.5 100.4</td>
<td>1.590 (4×)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>137.6 (2×)</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>1.588 (2×)</td>
<td>~0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>1.592 (2×)</td>
<td>~0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2 alternate</td>
<td>140.0 (2×)</td>
<td>15.69 (4×)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>~0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>167.8 (2×)</td>
<td>1.586 (4×)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Optimized structural conformations are shown in Fig. 8.

$^b$ Only bridging inner-ring P–O bond distances are shown.

$^c$ Relative HF energy differences for same sized phosphate rings.

$^d$ Relative energy for P–O–P bond angles based on the linear dimer P–O–P energy relationship shown in Fig. 9.

$^e$ Only inner-ring P–O–P and O–P–O angles are shown.
glasses as compared to the ideal numbers [7]. The relative dominance of the Q-species was reproduced as the ideal, although there is a larger spread in the Q-distributions. The depolymerization effect of alkali (Li) addition is also evident in the results. The spread of Q-distribution, particularly the presence of Q$^4$ species for compositions of $0.0 \leq x \leq 0.2$, is probably related to the quench rate used in the simulation. Indeed, when the quench rate is decreased from the usual rate of $7.1 \times 10^{11}$ K/s to $4.0 \times 10^{11}$ K/s and then to $2.0 \times 10^{10}$ K/s, the proportion of Q$^3$ for the P$_2$O$_5$ glass ($x = 0.0$, 100% experimentally) increased from 47% to 55% and then to 60%, respectively. Yet, the quench rate in the experimental preparation of the glass [5,6] is in the order of degrees per second, which is far beyond the ability of current computing resources.

5. Conclusions

The molecular dynamics simulations based on the developed phosphate forcefield provide an atomic-based model for the interpretation of the structure and thermodynamic properties of phosphate glasses. The ability to obtain equilibrated glass structures and monitor their dilatancy at constant pressure provides a method for the determination of glass formation temperatures. Ring structures were observed in the alkali phosphate glasses and were closely related to the chemical composition. The highest abundance of smallest ring size (3-membered ring) that is energetically least favorable (as based on ab initio calculations of cluster molecules) corresponds to the minimum of $T_g$ in the phosphate glass series. This structural feature, along with variations in the Li coordination environment, may determine the spectroscopic and thermodynamic properties observed in the phosphate glass. These newly developed simulation methods will prove invaluable for future evaluation of structure–property relations and, ultimately, the design of new phosphate-based materials.
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